

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,517	09/15/2003	David H. Erlandsen	5222	1889
7590 10/12/2005		EXAMINER		
Donald D. Mon			KAUFMAN, JOSEPH A	
750 East Green S	Streen #303			
Pasadena, CA 91101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3754	

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\checkmark b$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/663,517	ERLANDSEN, DAVID H.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph A. Kaufman	3754				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office tater than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
/ 	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under it	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documen						
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	·					
 Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Burea 	-	ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	ed.				
		 -				
Attachment(s)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
0.0.1.17.1.1.1.0//		•				

Application/Control Number: 10/663,517 Page 2

Art Unit: 3754

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 7, there is a lack of antecedent basis for "said motor". It is unclear if it should be "a" motor or if the motor should have been positively recited earlier in the claim.

Claim 5 depends from itself. For examination purposes, it will be treated as if it depends from claim 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker et al.

Baker et al. shows a peristaltic pump 116; control 10, 60, 134; motor 118; hose 70; inlet from 116; delivery end at 10; race as the rotor discussed in column 3, lines 60-62; pump tubing and rollers discussed in column 3, line 59 (there being at least a pair of rollers as they are plural and "equally spaced"); cooling device 130; insert 10 that is open on its top as it has nothing on top of it; and the sanitization is discussed in column 4, line 48-column 5, line 4. Baker et al. lacks mention of the food storing medium and

Application/Control Number: 10/663,517 Page 3

Art Unit: 3754

the food being eggs. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to store the food product in a flexible bag as flexible bags are inexpensive, common, and are easily disposable. Further, dispensing eggs would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as Baker et al. employs the device for dispensing "condiments or the like". Eggs are clearly food, about the same viscosity, and are dispensed in portions like condiments and would have clearly been contemplated and encompassed by "or the like".

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 5-7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Parker, Hankner et al., Fender et al., and Tokorozawa et al. show other roller-type pumps; and Lee et al. show a pump and flexible bag arrangement.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph A. Kaufman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4928. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 5:30AM-2PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Mar can be reached on (571) 272-4906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph A. Kaufmar Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3754

jak

September 27, 2005